

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,115	10/31/2003	Kenichi Shinozaki	244136US	8438
22850	7590 06/24/2005	•	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			MARKOFF, ALEXANDER	
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		b.V				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/697,115	SHINOZAKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander Markoff	1746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	ctober 2003.				
• -		action is non-final.				
3)□	•					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/816,379</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		-				
2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/697,115

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-14 are indefinite because they omit essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Claim 3 is indefinite because the term "effectively" is a relative term lacking proper comparative basis.

Claim 3 is indefinite because the term "the action of said magnetic force" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claims 8- 11 and 13 are indefinite because it is not clear how the recited functions limit the structure of the claimed apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/697,115

Art Unit: 1746

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Malin (US Patent No 3,514,328).

Malin teaches an apparatus as claimed. See entire document, especially Figs. 1 and 2 and the related description, Background of Invention and Description of Preferred Embodiment.

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komanduri et al (US Patent NO 5,931,718).

Komanduri et al teach an apparatus as claimed. See entire document, especially Fig. 1 and the related description.

6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakano (US Patent No 5,044,128).

Nakano teaches an apparatus as claimed. See entire document, especially Figs.

1, 2, 4, 5 and the related description.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following documents are made of the record to show the state of the prior art with respect to apparatuses for cleaning utilizing magnetic cleaning:

Application/Control Number: 10/697,115 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

US 6,206,978; US 3,848,363; US 3,695,934; us 5,957,753; US 4,175,930; US 5,813,901; JP 2-163,391; US 5,971,835; US 5,795,212; US 5,433,654; US 6,221,170; US 6,348,104; US 3,839,085.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

am

PRIMARY EXAMINED